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Cordless or cordfree? What’s the difference?

What difference is there between the new word cordfree and its established rival cordless? A group of my students and I show that the new suffix -free is used to contrast a value that has a positive connotation with its (sometimes unspoken) connotatively negative opposite, whereas -less carries no such connotation. It is better to be cordfree than to be cored, while being cordless carries no connotations of being superior in this way. The suffix -less carries no accompanying positive baggage. To be childfree or carefree is necessarily good; to be childless or careless is not.

Our conclusions are based on an exhaustive comparison of sample internet citations for all words ending in -less listed in the Oxford English Dictionary with those for the corresponding words in -free, of which only a small fraction are listed in the dictionary. Our online search reveals that many more of these -free words are found online and that their meanings correspond to our predictions.

Overall, we show how modern methods reveal the detailed workings of a living language as it changes in real time.

Saturday, October 5, 2019
11 AM - 12 PM

Borough of Manhattan Community College
Room: S135

199 Chambers Street, (at West Street) New York, NY 10007

NOTE: All attendees will be asked to show some form of ID in order to enter the college.

There will be a meeting of the ILA’s Executive Board from 2:00 p.m. to 4:00 p.m. on the same day.